

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

From:**Sent:**

Wednesday, March 04, 2015 5:10 PM

To:

Jim Mitchell

Cc:

'Bill Harlow'; (b)(3) CIAAct

Subject:

RE: Sorry to be a pest -- but....

Dear Mr. Mitchell:

Please note that

(b)(5)

We cannot at this time provide an anticipated date for a response. However, please be assured that the manuscript review is being actively worked.

The Board appreciates your cooperation with prepublication review. The PRB may be contacted at (b)(3) CIAAct if you have any questions or if we can be of further assistance.

Please include (b)(3) CIAAct on all messages in order to ensure appropriate and timely action is taken.

Regards,

(b)(3) CIAAct PRB Staff

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

From: Bill Harlow [mailto: (b)(6)]**Sent:** Tuesday, March 03, 2015 3:59 PM**To:** prb**Cc:** (b)(3) CIAAct Jim Mitchell

(b)(3) CIAAct

Subject: Sorry to be a pest -- but....

(b)(6)

Could someone please advise me on the status of this proposal?

It has now been a month since it was originally submitted...and five days since I asked for a status report...with nothing heard.

Bill Harlow

(b)(6)

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Fwd: Book Proposal On Behalf of Dr. James E. Mitchell

Date:Thu, 26 Feb 2015 17:15:37 -0500

From:Bill Harlow [redacted] (b)(6)

To:[redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct

CC:Jim Mitchell [redacted] (b)(6)

Please advise on the status of PRB review of this proposal.

Thanks,

Bill Harlow

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Book Proposal On Behalf of Dr. James E. Mitchell

Date:Tue, 03 Feb 2015 13:38:48 -0500

From:Bill Harlow [redacted] (b)(6)

To:[redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct

CC:Jim Mitchell [redacted] (b)(6)

Attached please find a book proposal titled: "Interrogating the Enemy" written by former CIA contractor Dr. James E. Mitchell with my help. We are submitting the proposal to you in the hope and expectation of getting quick and positive clearance from the PRB so that it can be shared with potential publishers.

Dr. Mitchell had no intention of writing a book until the flood of information, much of it wrong or out of context, was released in connection with the December publication of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence's interrogation report.

We know the Agency expended considerable effort to try to protect the identities of some participants and protect some operational details in the SSCI report. But the information that was released makes it essential that people like Dr. Mitchell be able to defend their own honor and, without doing additional damage to sources and methods, be able to set the record straight against mis-impressions that have been allowed to prosper in the media.

As you start your review of the proposal we want to stress a couple points.

(b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(5)

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(5)
(b)(6)

We look forward to hearing your prompt response.

If you have any questions on this proposal, please contact me at

(b)(6)

or

(b)(6)

Bill Harlow

